UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER
PLAINTIFF ACTIONS

IN RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST

LITIGATION

MDL No. 3:17-md-02801-JD

Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,541,734.08

[Proposed] Order Granting Indirect Purchase Plaintiffs Reimbursement of Expenses in the Amount of \$4,541,734.08; Case No. 3:14-cy-03264-JD

The Court has reviewed the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' ("IPPs") Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses ("Motion") in relation to the Round 2 Settlements (ECF No. 307), IPPs' supplemental briefing regarding the same (ECF No. 363), the Special Master's Report and Recommendations on the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiff Class Counsel's Request for Reimbursement of Expenses (ECF No. 1020), and IPPs' response to that R&R accepting it in full (ECF No. 1022).

The Court ORDERS that:

- The Special Master's Report and Recommendations on the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiff
 Class Counsel's Request for Reimbursement of Expenses (ECF No. 1020) is well-reasoned and
 thorough, and it is adopted in full.
- 2. Class Counsel for IPPs are awarded reimbursement of their litigation expenses in the amount of \$4,541,734.08. The Court approves that amount as reasonably and properly incurred, and sufficiently supported.
- 3. The expenses will be allocated among Class Counsel by Lead Counsel for IPPs in a manner that, in Lead Counsel for IPPs' good-faith judgment, reflects each firm's contribution to the institution, prosecution, and resolution of the litigation.
- 4. This order is entered of this date pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finding that there is no just reason for delay.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 17, 2019

HON. JAMES DONATO UNITED TATES DISTRICT JUDGE